

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

APR 1 0 1996.

CERTIFIED MAIL - See Attached List RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

To Addressees

Re: Order 96-08 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 9606

Dodson Brothers Oil

Dear Addressees:

The enclosed Order is issued by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), pursuant to Section 106 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606, to prevent or mitigate the immediate and significant risk of harm to the human health and environment. The Order requires you to take immediate action to contain and prevent the release or potential release of hazardous substances at the Dodson Brothers Oil site formerly located at 10810 Monte Vista Boulevard, Montclair, California (the Site).

If EPA is unable to reach an agreement with you regarding your performance of the removal activities specified in the Order, EPA will conduct these removal actions itself and as a potentially responsible party (PRP) you may be liable for all the Agency's incurred costs.

If you have any technical questions, please contact Daniel Shane at (415) 744-2286. For legal questions, please contact Jeannie Cervera at (415) 744-1388. All other questions may be referred to John Jaros at (415) 744-2316.

Sincerely,

& Keith A. Takata, Director

Dall culus

Hazardous Waste Management Division

enclosures: Unilateral Administrative Order 96-08

Appendix A: PRP List

Index to the Administrative Record

Unilaterial Administrative Order 96-08 has been sent to the following:

- 1. Floyd Dodson 745-799-023 P.O. Box 307 Doyle, CA 96109
- 2. Behr Process Company 745-799-024
 Attn: Michael Butler
 1603 W. Alton Avenue
 Santa Ana, California 92704
- 3. Detrex Corporation 745-799-025
 Attn: Robert Currie, Esq.
 24901 Northwestern Highway, Suite 500
 Southfield, Michigan 48075
- 4. Lockheed Martin 745-799-018
 Attn: William A. Sullivan, Esq.
 Assistant General Counsel
 4500 Park Granada Boulevard
 Calabasas, California 91399
- 5. Mobil Oil Corporation 745-799-019
 Superfund Response Branch
 Attn: Michael Skinner, Esq.
 3225 Gallows Road
 Fairfax, Virginia 22037



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

1	IN THE MATTER OF:) Order No. 96-08
2)
3) ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER
4	Dodson Brothers Oil Site) PURSUANT TO SECTION 106
5	10810 Monte Vista Avenue) OF THE COMPREHENSIVE
6	Montclair, CA 91763) ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE
7	· ·) COMPENSATION AND
8) LIABILITY ACT OF 1980
9) as amended, 42 U.S.C.
10	DEGROVDENMG.) Section 9606
11	RESPONDENTS:	\
12	FLOYD DODSON, an individual	,
13 14	FLOID DODSON, an individual	\
15	BEHR PROCESS COMPANY	\
16	DEIIK TROCEDD COM ANT	\
17	DETREX CORPORATION	,
18		Ś
19	LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION	j
20		j
21	MOBIL OIL CORPORATION	j ,
22)
23)
24		

PREAMBLE

This Administrative Order (Order) is issued on this date to the Respondents, pursuant to the authority vested in the President of the United States by Section 106(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606(a), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Pub. L. 99-499 (CERCLA), delegated to the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA") by Executive Order No. 12580, January 23, 1987, 52 Federal Register 2923, further delegated to the EPA Regional Administrators by U.S. EPA Delegation Nos. 14-14-A and 14-14-B, and further redelegated to the Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division by Region IX Delegations 1290.41 and 1290.42.

The State of California has been notified of the issuance of this Order as required by Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606(a).

This Order requires the Respondents to undertake and complete removal activities to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health and welfare or the environment that may be presented by the actual or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Site.

PARTIES BOUND

This Order applies to and is binding upon Respondents and Respondents' heirs, receivers, trustees, successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate status of Respondents including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property shall not alter such Respondents responsibilities under this Order. Respondents are jointly and severally liable for carrying out all activities required by this Order. Compliance or noncompliance by one or more Respondents with any provision of this Order shall not excuse or justify noncompliance by any other Respondent. Respondents shall ensure that their contractors, subcontractors, and representatives comply with this Order. Respondents shall be responsible for any noncompliance.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on available information, including the Administrative Record in this matter, U.S. EPA hereby finds:

1. <u>Site Description/Location</u>

The Dodson Brothers Oil site was formerly located at 10810 Monte Vista Avenue, City of Montclair, County of San Bernardino, California (the Site). This privately owned parcel of land and buildings thereon are the subject of this Administrative Order.

The Dodson facility, owned and operated by Floyd Dodson, was an unpermitted treatment, storage, and disposal facility (TSD) which operated from 1975 until 1982 when it was closed by local and county health officials after fire caused by a tank explosion revealed numerous health and safety violations. Shortly thereafter, the facility was abandoned. In 1985, San Bernardino County dismantled and removed the remaining above ground tanks and structures.

In addition to waste oils, the facility accepted waste fuels, spent solvents, and other liquid wastes. Liquid wastes were unloaded into above ground storage tanks which were used for storing, mixing, and settling the liquids. This process allowed the various wastes to gravity separate into an upper non-aqueous oily phase and a lower aqueous phase. The oil-based portion was either blended and sold to a waste oil and/or fuel distributor or deposited in one of two surface impoundments (SI).

The remaining aqueous waste stream was pumped into an underground storage tank (UST). Other wastes were disposed of by a tank truck which sprayed the wastes over unpaved portions of the Site.

The property is currently owned by Robert Kessler who owns and operates Johmar Enterprises, a salvage brokerage company, that buys and sells wrecked cars and is presently operating at the Site. The Site is situated on 7.4 acres of an 18.5 parcel in a mixed commercial and residential area on a county designated area near the Montclair and Ontario city limits.

The property is bounded by a motel and a single-family residence to the west, a junk auto storage yard and Southern Pacific railroad tracks to the north, vacant lots, a clothing manufacturer (Lilly of California), the City of Montclair Fire Department station number 2 to the east, and another junk storage area and the main office building and garage to the south. There are additional residential areas located nearby to the west.

The Site is located within the Chino Groundwater Basin which is a major drinking water reservoir. Groundwater beneath the Site occurs approximately 300 feet below ground surface (bgs). The groundwater flow direction is predominantly south. The soils in this area are comprised of highly interbedded mixture of gravel, sands, silts, and clays, and are considered very permeable. Given the permeable nature of the soils, infiltration and percolation of hazardous substances from contaminated and subsurface soils to groundwater is a potential threat to the drinking water supply. There are five large water purveyors that maintain and operate 58 drinking water wells with 4 miles of the Site. These water companies serve a total of 433,000 people. To date, sampling results have indicated no groundwater contamination.

2. Respondents

5

Respondents to this Order are described below and listed in Appendix A.

Respondent Floyd Dodson operated Dodson Brothers Oil located at 10810 Monte Vista Avenue, Montclair, California from 1975 until 1982 when he abandoned the property after a tank explosion and subsequent fire resulted in an inspection by local officials that revealed numerous health and safety violations.

Based upon California Department of Toxic Substance Control's ("DTSC") hazardous waste manifests, in or about the years from 1975 to 1982, the Respondents, Behr Process Company, Detrex Corporation, Lockheed Martin Corporation, and Mobil Oil Corporation arranged for disposal or treatment, or arranged for transport for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Dodson Brothers Oil Site.

3. Incident/Release Characteristics

1.0

In 1991, EPA's technical contractor, the Technical Assistance Team (TAT) conducted a site inspection. This was followed by an expanded site assessment, also in 1991. The results of both assessments indicated the presence of hazardous substances and materials in the soil. To further categorize the hazardous substances at the Site, EPA hired Bechtel Environmental Inc., an environmental consultant, to conduct an Expanded Integrated Assessment (ESA) in 1992.

Bechtel conducted a field sampling event to determine the locations and dimensions of the buried underground storage tank (UST) and surface impoundments (SIs) and to define the vertical extent of the VOC contamination beneath the SIs. The buried tank was located and uncovered. No samples were collected and tank was reburied. The buried SIs were also located. Results of the sample analyses indicated high concentrations of VOCs in the SIs to a depth of approximately 20 feet below the surface. PCE, TCE, TCA, and Toluene were found at concentrations as high as 2,700 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), 1,500 mg/kg, and 4,800 mg/kg, respectively. An alleged third SI was not located and may not exist.

In March 1994, EPA tasked the Emergency Response Section (ERS) to determine if conditions at the Site warranted a removal action. In September 1994, ERS's Federal On-Scene Coordinator, Dan Shane, directed TAT to perform a removal site evaluation (RSE) which included a soil gas survey and sampling and analysis of the contents in the UST. Analyses of the contents of the UST revealed high concentrations of VOCs. PCE, TCE, and TCA were found to be as high as 12,000 mg/kg, 2,200 mg/kg, and 12,000 mg/kg, respectively. The results of the soil gas survey indicated elevated concentrations of PCE, TCE, and TCA at 15 feet below the surface at locations that correlated with the known locations of the UST and SIs. Based on this information, EPA determined that conditions at the Site posed a potential endangerment to the public health, welfare, and environment.

On November 3, 1994, EPA sent Notices of Federal Interest to the prior and present owners of the Site (Kenneth C. Cooper, members of the Vista Land Management Company, and Robert Kessler) as potentially responsible parties (PRPs). The notice letters informed the parties of their potential liability and requested that they conduct a voluntary removal action. The parties were provided with a specific description of the work that needed to be performed with EPA providing oversight. The notified PRPs formed a PRP group (the Dodson Group) in an effort

to share the expense of the cleanup and to collectively perform the cleanup work.

 A Notice of Federal Interest was also sent to Floyd Dodson, owner and operator, of Dodson Brothers Oil on January 24, 1995. However, the letter was returned by the U.S. Postal Service since Mr. Dodson refused to sign for the letter. A preliminary investigation by EPA indicates that Mr. Dodson has insufficient assets to make a significant contribution to the cleanup.

At a meeting in February 1995, between EPA and members of the Dodson Group, the Dodson Group decided to undertake a voluntary removal action and subsequently hired Park Environmental Inc. as its environmental contractor. After lengthy technical discussion between EPA and the Dodson Group, EPA approved a workplan in June, 1995. However, EPA did not agree with the methods prosposed by the Dodson Group to remediate the contaminated soils; thus work at the site did not commence until September 1995.

On September 26, 1995, the Dodson Group began a voluntary removal action at the Site. Phase 1 involved removal of the contents of the UST, decontaminating the tank and hauling the tank to a scrap recycler. The hazardous waste solids removed from the UST were shipped by manifest to an appropriate disposal facility. Six soil samples were collected from the bottom of the tank excavation and the stockpile created from the soil removed around the tank to evaluate the contamination resulting from tank leakage. Results of the soil data indicated elevated concentrations of VOCs. PCE levels were as high as 58,000 ug/kg in the stockpile and 5,300 ug/kg at the southern end of the tank excavation. Phase 1 was completed on September 30, 1996.

On October 19, 1995, EPA sent additional Notices of Federal Interest to Behr Process Company, Detrex Corporation, Lockheed Martin Corporation, and Mobil Oil Corporation (The Generator Group) who EPA considered to be PRPs as a result of their past disposal of hazardous materials at the Dodson facility. EPA requested that they cooperate with the existing Dodson Group in completing the cleanup. Over the next several months, EPA held numerous teleconference calls with the Generator Group in an effort to encourage them to perform work in coordination with the Dodson Group. The Generator Group refused to participate in cleanup activities with the Dodson Group; however, they indicated a willingness to perform cleanup activities separately from the Dodson Group.

On December 11, 1995, the Dodson Group began Phase 2 activities. Phase 2 involved excavation of the contaminated soils from three locations. The first two locations were the SIs. Both excavations exceeded initial size estimates due to extensive vertical and lateral extent of the waste. Organic

vapor readings in SI-2 indicated that high concentrations of VOCs remained in soils at the bottom of the excavation. Excavated soils and previously stockpiled soils were placed in roll-off bins. The third location was underneath the UST. Approximately two feet of visibly contaminated soil was removed from beneath this area. The waste material in the three areas ranged from discolored soil with a toulene-like odor to a black tar-like substance. This material had been uncovered during the excavation and was discovered in layers which ranged from several inches to several feet thick. Currently, all the contaminated soil is stored on-site in 39 roll-off bins.

1 2

On December 18, 1995, the Dodson Group suspended work to collect confirmation sampling from the bottom of the excavation pits. Results of the sampling indicated elevated concentrations of VOCs at the bottom of SI-2 and the UST. PCE was found to be as high as 400,000 ug/kg in SI-2, and 29,000 ug/kg in the UST area.

In early January 1996, the Dodson Group informed EPA that it did not have the financial resources necessary to complete the excavation of the UST area and to perform backfilling of the other two excavation pits. In an effort to have the work continue, EPA held teleconference calls with the Generator Group on January 9 and January 22, 1996 and requested that they take immediate action to stabilize conditions at the Site. The Generator Group took no action at this time.

In response to continued negotiations between EPA and the Generator Group, representatives from EPA, the Generator Group, and their environmental contractor, C2-REM, conducted a site inspection on February 21, 1996. In March of 1996, the Generator Group submitted a proposal to EPA which addressed completing the remaining phases of the cleanup. However, EPA deemed the plan unsatisfactory, as it failed to adequately address the off-site disposal of the soil in the roll-off boxes and the backfilling of the two excavation pits. Subsequent conversations between EPA and C2-REM did not resolve these differences. On March 14, 1996, EPA sent a letter to the Generator Group outlining EPA's concerns with their proposed scope of work. In this letter, EPA gave the Generator Group a deadline of March 21, 1996, to comply with EPA's recommendations for the cleanup or EPA would complete the cleanup. On March 21, 1996, EPA was informed by the Generator Group that another proposal would be submitted by March 28, 1996. Again, EPA found this new proposal unacceptable because it failed to adequately address the soil remediation and off-site disposal issues. To date, EPA has not received a response which indicates that the Generator Group is prepared to complete the cleanup under an EPA approved scope of work and with EPA oversight.

4. Quantities and Types of Substances Present

The substances of primary concern include perchloroethylene, trichloroethylene, 1,1,1-trichloroethane, 1,1-dichloroethylene, 1,2-dichloroethane, toluene, ethylbenezene and xylene, all of which are "hazardous substances" as defined by Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601(14), and 40 CFR Section 302.4 and Table 302.4.

Presently, the contaminated soils and waste materials are stored on-site in 39 roll-off bins. There are two uncovered excavation pits and another uncovered area where the UST was removed.

5. <u>Threats to the Public Health, Welfare, and Environment</u>

 The presence of hazardous substances remaining in the subsurface soils, and the potential for the substances to migrate, constitutes an actual or threatened release of hazardous substances into the environment. There is a potential for hazardous substances to migrate from the open excavations via particulate and gaseous emissions. Rainwater percolation and infiltration into the open excavations may increase the potential for hazardous substances in the subsurface soils to migrate into the groundwater.

Human exposures to the primary constitutes of concern, the chlorinated solvents, can cause adverse effects to the central nervous system, respiratory tract, skin, liver and kidneys. The concentrations of PCE and TCE in the soils were 150 and 200 times greater than the EPA Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and the Administrative Record supporting this removal action, U.S. EPA has concluded that:

- 6. The property on which Dodson Brothers Oil was located at 10810 Monte Vista Avenue, Montclair, California, is a "facility" as defined by Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601(9).
- 7. Each Respondent is a "person" as defined by Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601(21).
- 8. Respondent Floyd Dodson is either a person who at the time of disposal of hazardous substances owned or operated at the Site within meaning of Section 107(a)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 107(a)(2) or who arranged for disposal of hazardous

substances at the Site within the meaning of Section 107(a)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9607(a)(3).

2 3 4

- 9. Each Respondent, Behr Process Company, Detrex Corporation, Lockheed Martin Corporation, and Mobil Oil Corporation, arranged for disposal or treatment, or arranged for transport for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Dodson Brothers Oil facility within the meaning of Section 107(a)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9607(a)(3).
- 10. Each Respondent is therefore a liable person under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9607.
- 11. Perchlorethylene, Trichloroethylene, 1,1,113 Trichloroethane, 1,1-Dichloroethylene, 1,2-Dichloroethane,
 14 Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene, all are "hazardous substances"
 15 as defined by Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section
 16 9601(14), and Section 302.4 of the National Contingency Plan
 17 (NCP), 40 CFR Part 300.
 - 12. The presence of Perchloroethylene,
 Trichloroethylene, 1,1,1-Trichloroethane, 1,1-Dichloroethylene,
 1,2-Dichloroethane, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylene in the soil
 constitutes an actual or threatened "release" as that term is
 defined in Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section
 9601(22).

1.8

DETERMINATIONS

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA Region IX, has made the following determinations:

- 28
 13. The actual or threatened release of hazardous
 29 substances from the Facility may present an imminent and
 30 substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare, or the
 31 environment.
 - 14. The actions required by this Order, if properly performed, are consistent with the National Contingency Plan ("NCP"), 40 CFR Part 300 and CERCLA and are appropriate to protect the public health, welfare, or the environment.
 - 15. The conditions present at the Site constitute a threat to public health, welfare, or the environment based upon consideration of the factors set forth in the NCP at 40 CFR Section 300.415(b). These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Actual or potential exposure to hazardous substances by nearby populations, animals, or food chain

The most serious threat is the potential contamination of the regional groundwater aquifer and drinking water source by VOCs. The deepest borings to date indicate that contaminants in the vadose zone have migrated to a depth of 50 feet below ground surface.

Hazardous substances are exposed at the bottom of large open excavations. These are a potential source of airborne particulate matter and gaseous emissions. Direct exposure and physical injury could result from falling into the excavations which are unstable. In addition, 39 roll-off bins are another potential source of exposure through direct contact or inhalation.

b. Weather conditions that may cause hazardous substances to migrate or be released

Heavy spring rains can reasonably be expected to accelerate the migration of the contaminants which have been identified in open excavations.

c. Actual or potential contamination of drinking water supplies

Although there is no known contamination of nearby municipal wells, no monitoring wells have been installed in proximity to this release.

d. The unavailability of other appropriate Federal or State response mechanisms to respond to the release

The State of California does not have the financial resources to perform the removal action. However, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) will assume the responsibilities of the lead agency for enforcement and/or oversight of all long-term remedial actions.

e. <u>High levels of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants in soils at or near the surface, that may migrate</u>

High levels of hazardous substances remain in the subsurface soils. Confirmed sampling results from the excavations revealed elevated levels of VOCs in the SI-2 and UST areas. The VOCs have been detected as deep as 50 feet bgs.

f. Threat of fire and explosion

A fire or explosion is unlikely based on the concentrations of potentially ignitable compounds.

1 ORDER

 Based upon the foregoing Findings, Conclusions, and Determinations, and pursuant to Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606(a), it is hereby Ordered that the Respondents undertake the following actions under the direction of EPA's On-Scene Coordinator.

- 16. The effective date of this Order shall be the date of signature by the Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division.
- 17. Within ten (10) calendar days after the effective date of this Order, the Respondents shall submit to U.S. EPA for approval, a Work Plan for the removal activities set forth in Paragraph 20 (a-c) below. The Work Plan shall be reviewed by U.S. EPA, which may approve, disapprove, require revisions to, or modify the Work Plans. The Respondents shall implement the Work Plan as finally approved by U.S. EPA. Once approved, the Work Plan shall be deemed to be incorporated into and made a fully enforceable part of this Order.
- 18. The Work Plan shall contain a site safety and health plan, a transportation and disposal plan, and a schedule of the work to be performed. The site safety and health plan shall be prepared in accordance with EPA's Standard Operating Safety Guide, dated November, 1984, and updated July, 1988, and with the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations applicable to Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response, 29 CFR Part 120.
- 19. The Respondents shall retain an environmental contractor qualified to undertake and complete the requirements of this Order, and shall notify U.S. EPA of the name of such contractor within five (5) days of the receipt of this Order. U.S. EPA retains the right to disapprove of any, or all, of the contractors and/or subcontractors retained by the Respondents. In the event U.S. EPA disapproves of a selected contractor, the Respondents shall retain a different contractor to perform the work, and such selection shall be made within two (2) business days following U.S. EPA's disapproval.
- 20. Within three (3) calendar days after U.S. EPA approval of the Work Plan, the Respondents shall commence implementation of the Work Plan as approved or modified by U.S. EPA. Failure of any Respondent to properly implement all aspects of the Work Plan shall be deemed to be a violation of the terms of this Order. The Plan shall require the Respondents to perform, and complete within thirty (30) calendar days after approval, at a minimum, the removal activities identified in paragraphs (a-c).

a. Excavate additional contaminated soils below the existing bottom of the UST excavation. This involves removing about 65 cubic yards of highly contaminated soils to a depth of 8-10 feet below the existing bottom of the UST excavation.

- b. Backfill, compact, and grade the excavated areas. This involves the backfilling of the excavated areas with clean fill, compacting the fill, grading and restoring to its original condition, in so far as possible.
- c. Provide for the transportation and disposal of all contaminated soils at an EPA-approved disposal facility. This involves the transportation and off-site disposal of contaminated soils currently stored in 39 roll-off bins and any additional bins generated during the removal of soils from the UST area. Additionally, this involves the disposal of 13 drums containing soil cuttings and decon water derived from previous EPA site assessments.
- 21. The Respondents shall provide EPA with written weekly summary reports. These reports should contain a summary of the previous week's activities and up-coming activities.
- 22. Respondents shall inform EPA at least forty-eight (48) hours prior to commencement of on-Site work.
- 23. All sampling and analysis shall be consistent with the "Quality Assurance/Quality Control Guidance for Removal Activities": "Sampling QA/QC Plan and Data Validation Procedures," EPA OSWER Directive 9360.4-01, dated April, 1990.
- 24. Any hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant transferred off-Site as a result of this Order must be taken to a facility acceptable under the EPA Off-Site Disposal Policy (OSWER Directive 9834.11, November 13, 1987) in accordance with CERCLA Section 121(d)(3), 42 U.S.C. §9621(d)(3).
- 25. With five (5) days of receipt of this Order, the Respondents shall designate a Project Coordinator. To the greatest extent possible, the Project Coordinator shall be present on site or readily available during site work. The U.S. EPA has designated Richard Martyn as its On-Scene Coordinator. The On-Scene Coordinator and the Project Coordinator shall be responsible for overseeing the implementation of this Order. To the maximum extent possible, communication between the Respondents and the U.S. EPA, and all documents, reports, and all other correspondence concerning the activities relevant to this Order, shall be directed through the On-Scene Coordinator and the Project Coordinator.

26. The U.S. EPA and the Respondents shall each have the right to change their respective designated On-Scene Coordinator or Project Coordinator. U.S. EPA shall notify the Respondents, and Respondents shall notify U.S. EPA, as early as possible before such a change is made, but in no case less then 24 hours before such a change. Notification may initially be verbal, but shall promptly be reduced to writing.

1 2

- 27. The U.S. EPA On-Scene Coordinator shall have the authority vested in an On-Scene Coordinator by the NCP, 40 CFR Part 300, as amended, including the authority to halt, conduct, or direct any work required by this Order, or to direct any other response action undertaken by U.S. EPA or the Respondents.
- 28. No extensions to the above time frames shall be granted without sufficient cause. All extensions must be requested in writing, and shall not be deemed accepted unless approved in writing, by U.S. EPA.
- 29. All instructions by the U.S. EPA On-Scene Coordinator or his designated alternate shall be binding upon the Respondents as long as those instructions are not clearly inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan.
- 30. To the extent that the Facility, or other areas where work under this Order is to be performed is owned by, or in possession of, someone other than the Respondents, the Respondents shall obtain all necessary access agreements. In the event that after using their best efforts any Respondent is unable to obtain such agreements, the Respondent shall immediately notify U.S. EPA.
 - 31. The Respondents shall provide access to the Site to U.S. EPA employees, contractors, agents, and consultants at reasonable times, and shall permit such persons to be present and move freely in the area in order to conduct inspections, including taking photographs and videotapes of the Site, to do cleanup/stabilization work, to take samples, to monitor the work under this Order, and to conduct other activities which the U.S. EPA determines to be necessary.
 - 32. Nothing contained herein shall be construed to prevent U.S. EPA from seeking legal or equitable relief to enforce the terms of this Order, or from taking other legal or equitable action as it deems appropriate and necessary, or from requiring the Respondents in the future to perform additional activities pursuant to CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9601, et seq., or any other applicable law.
- 33. The provisions of this Order and the directions of the On-Scene Coordinator shall be binding on the employees, agents, successors, and assigns of the Respondents.

- 34. The Respondents shall retain copies of all records and files relating to hazardous substances found on the site for six (6) years following completion of the activities required by this Order and shall make them available to the U.S. EPA prior to the termination of the removal activities under this Order.
- The Respondents shall submit a final report summarizing the actions taken to comply with this Order. The report shall contain, at a minimum: identification of the facility, a description of the locations and types of hazardous substances encountered at the facility upon the initiation of work performed under this Order, a chronology and description of the actions performed, a discussion of how all problems were resolved, a listing of quantities and types of materials removed from the facility, a discussion of removal and disposal options considered for any such materials, a listing of the ultimate destination of those materials, and a presentation of the analytical results of all sampling and analysis performed and accompanying appendices containing all relevant paperwork prepared during the action (e.g., manifests, invoices, bills, contracts, permits). The final report shall also include the total cleanup costs incurred for all removal activities and an affidavit from a person who supervised or directed the preparation of that report. The affidavit shall certify under penalty of law that based on personal knowledge and appropriate inquiries of all other persons involved in preparation of the report, the information submitted is true, accurate, and complete to the best of the affiant's knowledge and belief. The report shall be submitted within thirty (30) days of completion of the work required by this Order.
- 36. All notices, reports, and requests for extensions submitted under the terms of this Order shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, and addressed to the following:

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

On-Scene Coordinator (H-8-3)

U.S. EPA

75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 744-2286

one copy to: John P. Jaros

Enforcement Officer (H-8-4))

U.S. EPA

75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 744-2316

37. If any provision of this Order is deemed invalid or unenforceable, the balance of this Order shall remain in full force and effect.

REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS

- 38. Respondents shall reimburse EPA, upon written demand, for all response costs incurred by the United States in overseeing Respondents' implementation of the requirements of this Order. EPA may submit to Respondents on a periodic basis a bill for all response costs incurred by the United States with respect to this Order. EPA's itemized Cost Summary, or such other summary as certified by EPA, shall serve as the basis for payment.
- 39. Respondents shall, within 30 days of receipt of the bill, remit a cashier's or certified check for the amount of those costs made payable to the "Hazardous Substance Superfund" to the following address:
 - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, PA 15251
- 40. Respondents shall simultaneously transmit a copy of the check to the Deputy Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, U.S. EPA Region 9. Payments shall be designated as Response Costs Omega Chemical Site and shall reference the payor's name and address, the EPA Site identification number and the docket number of this Order.
 - 41. Interest at a rate established by the Department of the Treasury pursuant to 31 U.S.C. Section 3717 and 4 CFR Section 102.13 shall begin to accrue on the unpaid balance from the day after the expiration of the 30 day period notwithstanding any dispute or an objection to any portion of the costs.

MODIFICATIONS

- 33 42. Modifications to any plan or schedule may be made 34 in writing by the OSC or at the OSC's oral direction. If the OSC 35 makes an oral modification, it will be memorialized in writing 36 within 5 days; provided, however, that the effective date of the 37 modification shall be the date of the OSC's oral direction.
- 38 43. The rest of the Order, or any other portion of the Order may only be modified in writing by signature of the Director of the Hazardous Waste Management Division.

 41 If Respondents seek permission to deviate from any approved plan

or schedule, Respondents' Project Coordinator shall submit a written request to EPA for approval outlining the proposed modification and its basis.

1 2

44. No informal advice, guidance, suggestion, or comment by EPA regarding reports, plans, specifications, schedules, or any other writing submitted by the Respondents shall relieve the Respondents of their obligations to obtain such approval as may be required by this Order, and to comply with all requirements of this Order unless it is formally modified.

ACCESS TO ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

45. The Administrative Record supporting the selection of the response action for this site is available for review on normal business days between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in the Office of Regional Counsel, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, 16th Floor, San Francisco, California. If additional information becomes available, EPA will revise the Administrative Record to reflect such material. To review the Administrative Record contact Jeannie Cervera (415) 744-1388. A draft Index to the Administrative Record is enclosed with the Order.

OPPORTUNITY TO CONFER

- 46. With respect to the actions required above, within three calendar days upon receipt of the Order, the Respondents may request a conference with EPA to discuss the conditions herein set forth in the Order. Respondents may appear in person or be represented by an attorney or other representative. Respondents may present any information regarding this Order. Regardless of whether a conference is held, Respondents may submit any information arguments or comments in writing to EPA within 2 business days following the conference, or within 7 business days of issuance of the Order if no conference is requested. This conference is not an evidentiary hearing, does not constitute a proceeding to challenge this Order, and does not give Respondents a right to seek review of this Order. Please contact John Jaros at (415) 744-2316 or Jeannie Cervera at (415) 744-1388 to arrange an appropriate date for a conference.
- 47. The Respondents are hereby notified that U.S. EPA will take any action which may be necessary in the determination of U.S. EPA for the protection of public health and welfare and the environment, and Respondents may be liable under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9607(a), for all past and future costs of these government actions.

PENALTIES FOR NONCOMPLIANCE

48. The Respondents are advised pursuant to Section 106(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9606(b), that willful violation or subsequent failure or refusal to comply with this Order, or any portion thereof, may subject each noncomplying Respondents to a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 per day for each day in which such violation occurs, or such failure to comply continues. Failure to comply with this Order, or any portion thereof, without sufficient cause may also subject the Respondents to liability for punitive damages in an amount three times the amount of any cost incurred by the government as a result of the failure of the Respondents to take proper action, pursuant to Section 107(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9607(c)(3).

COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS

49. The Respondents shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations in carrying out the terms of this Order. As indicated above, all hazardous substances removed from the Site must be handled in accordance with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, 42 U.S.C. Section 6921, et seq., the regulations promulgated under that Act, and Section 121(d)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9621(d)(3).

ENDANGERMENT DURING IMPLEMENTATION

50. The Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA Region IX, may determine that acts or circumstances (whether related to or unrelated to this Order) may endanger human health, welfare, or the environment, and as a result of this determination, may order the Respondents to stop further implementation of this Order until the endangerment is abated.

GOVERNMENT NOT LIABLE

51. The United States Government and its employees and other representatives shall not be liable for any injuries or damages to persons or property resulting from the acts or omissions of the Respondents, their employees, contractors, or other representatives caused by carrying out this Order. The United States Government is not a party to any contract with the Respondents.

EPA Contacts:

Dan Shane
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
Emergency Response Section (H-8-3)
United States Environmental Protection Agency
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 744-2286

John P. Jaros Enforcement Officer Removal Response Section (H-8-4) United States Environmental Protection Agency 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 744-2316

Jeannie Cervera
Assistant Regional Counsel (RC-3-1)
Office of Regional Counsel
United States Environmental Protection Agency
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 744-1388

APPENDIX A

DODSON BROTHERS OIL SITE

ORDER 96-08 RESPONDENT LIST

- Floyd Dodson
 P.O. Box 307
 Doyle, California 96109
- 2. Behr Process Corporation Attn: Michael Butler 1603 W. Alton Avenue Santa Ana, California 92704
- 3. Detrex Corporation
 Attn: Robert Currie, Esq.
 24901 Northwestern Highway, Suite 500
 Southfield, Michigan 48075
- 4. Lockheed Martin
 Attn: William A. Sullivan, Esq.
 Assistant General Counsel
 4500 Park Granada Boulevard
 Calabasas, California 91399
- 5. Mobil Oil Corporation
 Superfund Response Branch
 Attn: Michael Skinner, Esq.
 3225 Gallows Road
 Fairfax, Virgina 22037

DODSON BROTHERS OIL Superfund Removal Site Montclair, California ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD CUMULATIVE INDEX IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER

DATE AR # yy/mm/dd	AUTHOR	ADDRESSEE	SUBJECT
		***************************************	***************************************
93/03/26 AR 0 /	Bechtel Corp	Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Response action analysis workplan & sampling & analysis plan, anticipated sampling dates 5/17/93-6/4/93, w/marginalia
93/10/15 AR 0 之 ;	Anjana Vig I C F Technology, Inc	Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Data validation rpt: SAS 8070Y-01, memo #1, 4 soil samples for SAS volatiles, collected 8/25/93-8/26/93, w/TL to M Bellot fr M Weiner
94/06/10 AR 0 3	Bechtel Corp	Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Integrated assessment
94/11/02 AR 0 4	Donald White Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Kenneth Cooper City of Claremont, CA	<pre>Ltr: General notice ltr, w/distribution list, attchs & A-B & w/o cert mail receipts (redacted, FOIA ex 6)</pre>
94/11/02 AR 0 5	Donald White Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Robert Kessler Johmar Enterprises	Ltr: General notice ltr, w/attch A & w/o cert mail receipt #842-769-680
94/12/02 AR 0 G	John Jaros Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Kenneth Cooper City of Upland	Ltr: Potentially responsible party work group meeting 12/13/94 (redacted, FOIA ex 6)
94/12/08 AR 0 7	Robert Wise Ecology & Environment, Inc	William Lewis Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	<pre>itr: Site assessment rpt, w/attch maps & quality assurance sample plan</pre>
94/12/21 AR 0 8	John Jaros Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Kenneth Cooper City of Upland	Ltr: Appreciated cooperation at mtg, site rpt 12/8/94, summary of EPA's recommended SOW w/o attchs 1 & 2 (for attch 1 see 00007) (redacted, FOIA ex 6)
94/12/29 AR 0 9	Barry Groveman McKenna & Cuneo	John Jaros Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Ltr: Potentially responsible party work group (faxed), w/TL to J Jaros fr B Groveman
95/01/24 AR 0/0	Barry Grovemen McKenna & Cuneo	John Jaros Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Ltr: Intent to conduct RI & preliminary removal action at site, w/TL to J Jaros fr B Groveman (faxed)
95/02/23 AR & [/	Daniel Fresquez McKenna & Cuneo	Dan Shane Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Ltr: Developments after 2/8/95 mtg, confirm 3/7/95 deadline for workplan, w/proposal for remediation services 2/10/95
95/03/00 AR & /2	John Jaros Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Daniel Fresquez McKenna & Cuneo	Ltr: Grants workplan time extension request TO 3/31/95

DODSON BROTHERS OIL Superfund Removal Site Montclair, California ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD CUMULATIVE INDEX IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER

DATE yy/mm/dd	AR #	AUTHOR	ADDRESSEE	SUBJECT
			•••••	
9 5/07/00	AR 024	Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9		Bulletin: EPA to oversee removal action in Montclair
9 5/07/11	ar 025	Dan Shane Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Environmental Protection Agency - Washington DC	Polrep 1
95/07/25	AR 0.26	Dan Shane Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Benjamin Blaney Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Memo: Need help evaluating feasibility of using bioremediation technologies to treat contaminants w/o attchs (for attchs see AR #s 7,19,5,90017,90015)
95/07/31	AR 027	Carl Potter Environmental Protection Agency - Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory	Dan Shane Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Memo: Reviewed info on contamination characteristics of soil & UST, why bioremediation not suitable option, w/TL to D Shane fr C Potter (faxed 8/2/95)
95/07/31	ar 028	Dan Shane Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Environmental Protection Agency - Washington DC	Polrep 2
95/08/16	AR O 유역	John Jaros Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Kenneth Cooper City of Upland	Ltr: Update on outstanding issues discussed in mtg 8/15/95, extend deadline to 9/5/95 to resolve outstanding issues, w/o attch (redacted, FOIA ex 6)
95/09/01	AR 030	Daniel Fresquez Proskauer, Rose, Goetz & Mendelsohn	Peter Orth Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Ltr: Conflict over EPA position is limited removal action, need tech input fr EPA, call to schedule mtg w/ltr rpt to B Groveman fr J Smith 8/28/95
9 5/09/12	AR 031	Jane Freemyer B C Analytical	Robert Wise Ecology & Environment, Inc	Sampling & analysis data, w/TL to D Shane fr R Wise 9/26/95 (faxed 9/26/95 & 9/21/95)
95/09/28	AR 032	Dan Shane Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Environmental Protection Agency - Washington DC	Polrep 3
9 5/10/18	AR 033	Ravi Narasimhan Park Environmental Corp	Robert Wise Ecology & Environment, Inc	Ltr: Status rpt on site mitigation activities, w/attchs
9 5/10/18	ar 034	Donald White Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Detrex Corp	Ltr: General notice ltr, w/distribution list & w/o cert mail receipts

DODSON BROTHERS CIL Superfund Removal Site Montclair, California ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD CUMULATIVE INDEX IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER

DATE yy/mm/dd	AR #	AUTHOR	ADDRESSEE	SUBJECT .
		Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Agency - Washington DC	
9 5/12/21	AR 047	Park Environmental Corp		Analytical rpt, w/TLs to D Shane fr E Furu 12/29/95 & to E Furu fr R Forsyth 12/29/95 & w/o quality assurance/quality control data
95/12/27	AR 048	Park Environmental Corp		Sampling & analysis data, w/TL to J Jaros fr E Furu (faxed 1/1/96)
96/01/09	ar 0 49	Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Robert Currie City of Southfield, MI	Ltr: Transmits docs & rpts which provide analytical data & historical chronology, related issues, w/o attchs
96/01/17	AR 050	Dan Shane Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Environmental Protection Agency - Washington DC	Polrep 6
96/01/17	AR 051	Robert Wise Ecology & Environment, Inc	William Lewis Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Interim rpt #1, responsible party removal monitoring
96/01/24	AR 052	Dan Shane Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Robert Currie City of Southfield, MI	Ltr: Summary of removal actions to date & outlined remaining actions, w/o volume & cost estimates
96/02/16	AR 053	Robert Currie Detrex Corp	John Jaros Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Ltr: Confirms understandings reached in teleconference 2/12/96
96/03/05	AR 054	John Jaros Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Mike Butler Behr Process Corp	Ltr: Proposed work to be performed
96/03/11	AR 055	Ed Bourke	Dan Shane Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Technical memo #1: Selected remedy for excavated soils containing volatile organic carbons (draft) w/tables 1 & 2 & TL to D Shane fr E Bourke (faxed)
96/03/13	8 AR 056	JoAnn Camacho Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Dan Shane Environmental Protection Agency - Region 9	Memo: Review of technical memo #1 - concerns re selection of soil vapor extraction as remedy
96/03/14	ar 057	Dan Shane Environmental Protection	Mike Butler Behr Process Corp	Ltr: Disapproval of proposed remedy in technical memo #1 & reasons why

Agency - Region 9